

1 James A. Bruen (State Bar No. 43880)
2 Charles M. Sink (State Bar No. 78168)
3 Thomas B. Mayhew (State Bar No. 183539)
4 Carl E. Switzer (State Bar No. 211858)
5 Arjun Agarwal (State Bar No. 233576)
6 Farella Braun + Martel LLP
7 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor
8 San Francisco, CA 94104
9 Telephone: (415) 954-4400
10 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480
11 E-Mail: jbruен@fbm.com
12 E-Mail: csink@fbm.com
13 E-Mail: tmayhew@fbm.com
14 E-Mail: cswitzer@fbm.com
15 E-Mail: aagarwal@fbm.com

16 Ned N. Isokawa (State Bar No. 66287)
17 Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP
18 55 Second Street
19 Twenty-Fourth Floor
20 San Francisco, CA 94105
21 Telephone: 415-856-7000
22 Facsimile: 415-856-7100
23 E-Mail: nedisokawa@paulhastings.com

24 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant
25 GE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS
26 GLOBAL SIGNALING, LLC

27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

28 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19 SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID
20 TRANSIT DISTRICT,

21 Plaintiff,

22 vs.

23 GE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS
24 GLOBAL SIGNALING, LLC,

25 Defendant.

26 CASE NO. C 06-3749 JSW

27 **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
28 TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITS FOR REPLY
BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF MOTIONS FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Date: May 14, 2010
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Courtroom: 11, 19th Floor
Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White

Complaint Filed: June 13, 2006
Trial Date: August 30, 2010

29 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM.

1 WHEREAS, the parties' reply briefs in support of their motions for summary judgment
2 are due to be filed by April 16, 2010;

3 WHEREAS defendant GE Transportation Systems Global Signaling, LLC ("GETS")
4 submits that good cause exists to allow the reply briefs to exceed the otherwise applicable limit of
5 15 pages for such reply briefs by up to 10 pages because (1) arguments raised in the opposition
6 briefs were not addressed in the opening briefs, (2) BART filed eight declarations in support of its
7 opposition brief, (3) 15 pages is otherwise insufficient because of the complexity of the case and
8 the importance of the issues within the case; and (4) plaintiff San Francisco Bay Area Rapid
9 Transit District has agreed to stipulate to an increase in the number of pages permitted as an
10 accommodation to GETS, and for the sake of reciprocity asks that any increase in the number of
11 pages permitted apply to both parties;

12 NOW THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate, and respectfully request that the Court
13 so order, that the parties may file reply briefs in support of their motions for summary judgment
14 of up to 25 pages in length.

15 DATED: April 14, 2010

FARELLA, BRAUN & MARTEL, LLP

16

17

18

THOMAS B. MAYHEW

19

20

Attorneys for Defendant
GE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS GLOBAL
SIGNALING, LLC

21

22

23

DATED: April 14, 2010

CROWLEY, STRINGER & FENSKE LLP

24

25

26

ROBERT B. STRINGER

27

28

Attorneys for Plaintiff
SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT
DISTRICT

ORDER

Based on the parties' foregoing stipulation, and for good cause showing, the Court hereby orders that the parties may file reply briefs in support of their motions for summary judgment of up to 25 pages in length.

Dated: April 15, 2010

Jeffrey S. White
United States District Judge